Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/576,057	SCHEIBLI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TRI V. NGUYEN	1796
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>TRI V. NGUYEN</u> .	(3)	
(2) Joseph Suhadolnik (app. rep.).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 September 2009	Time: <u>12</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: all applied		
Claims discussed: all applied		
Prior art documents discussed: all applied		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN An authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by claims 2-4 and 11 without prejudice to their continued prosecution	y Applicant's Representative to ame	
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writter e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/T. V. N./		
Examiner, Art Unit 1796	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)